UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,291	02/19/2004	Edward H. Overstreet	05-00643-02	6152
23845 7590 04/16/2007 ADVANCED BIONICS CORPORATION 25129 RYE CANYON ROAD			EXAMINER	
			BOCKELMAN, MARK	
VALENCIA, CA 91355			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3766	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NITHS	04/16/2007	. DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			Se
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	·	10/783,291	OVERSTREET, EDWARD H.
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Mark W. Bockelman	3766
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHIO - External files - If NO - Fails Any	CHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Fe	ebruary 2007.	
2a)□		action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
,	closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Diamonia			
·	tion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 10-16</u> is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- cleation requirement	
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Applicat	tion Papers		
• —	The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau		
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed. ·
	*		

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8-24-2004.

4) Interview Summary (P10-413)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
6) Other:	

Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/783,291

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crowe et al USPN 6,944,503. Crowe teaches a device for applying neural stimulation in an amount to produce stimulation (column 4 line 65-67). Although he does not explicitly teach determining the amount of charge, in his background section he notes that the amount of charge varies for different nerves and depends upon how the pulse is applied (column 4 lines 1-11). To have used his techniques to determine the amount of charge and the best application pulse would have been obvious.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Layno can be reached at 571-272-4949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MWB

April 10, 2007

MARK BOCKELMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 3